

## **REMARKS**

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action mailed June 8, 2009. Upon entry of the amendments in this response, claims 1, 3 – 39, and 42 remain pending. In particular, Applicant amends claims 1, 12, 14 – 16, 19 – 23, 27, 30, 37 – 38, and 42. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

### **I. Examiner Interview**

Applicants first wish to express their sincere appreciation for the time that Examiner Dang spent with Applicants' Attorney, Anthony Bonner, during a telephone discussion on August 3, 2009 regarding the outstanding Office Action. During that conversation, Examiner Dang and Mr. Bonner discussed potential arguments and amendments with regard to claim 11, 12, 14, and 19. The general thrust of the potential principal arguments included a discussion of the term "computer-readable storage medium" being included in claim 19. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that Examiner Dang carefully consider this response and the amendments.

### **II. Allowable Subject Matter**

The Office Action indicates that claims 1, 3 – 39, and 42 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objections and rejections set forth in the Office Action. Applicant sincerely appreciates the indication of allowable subject matter and amend claims 1, 15 – 16, 19, 23, 27, 30, 37 – 38, and 42 to overcome the objections and rejections. Applicant submits that these amendments place the instant application in condition for allowance.

**III. Claim Objections**

The Office Action objects to claims 16, 19, 23, 27, and 42 for various informalities. Applicant amends claims 16, 19, 23, 27, and 42, as indicated above and submit that these amendments overcome this objection.

**IV. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112**

The Office Action indicates that claims 1, 15, 19, 23, 30, and 37 – 39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Applicant amends claims 1, 15, 19, 23, 30, and 37 – 39, as indicated above. Applicant submits that these amendments fulfill all the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112.

### **CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that the now pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested.

Any other statements in the Office Action that are not explicitly addressed herein are not intended to be admitted. In addition, any and all findings of inherency are traversed as not having been shown to be necessarily present. Furthermore, any and all findings of well-known art and Official Notice, or statements interpreted similarly, should not be considered well-known for the particular and specific reasons that the claimed combinations are too complex to support such conclusions and because the Office Action does not include specific findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support such conclusions.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

/afb/

**Anthony F. Bonner Jr. Reg. No. 55,012**

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,  
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1500  
600 Galleria Parkway SE  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770) 933-9500